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Abstract. Software engineers constantly deal with problems of designing, analyzing, and improving process specifications, e.g., source code, service compositions, or process models. Process specifications are abstractions of behavior observed or intended to be implemented in reality which result from creative engineering practice. Usually, process specifications are formalized as directed graphs, where edges capture temporal relations between decisions, synchronization points, and work activities. Every process specification is a compromise between two points: On the one hand engineers strive to operate with less modeling constructs which conceal irrelevant details, while on the other hand the details are required to achieve the desired level of customization for envisioned process scenarios. In our research, we approach the problem of varying abstraction levels of process specifications. Formally, developed abstraction mechanisms exploit the structure of a process specification and allow the generalization of low-level details into concepts of a higher abstraction level. The reverse procedure can be addressed as process specialization.
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1 Introduction

Process specifications represent exponential amounts of process execution scenarios with linear numbers of modeling constructs, e.g., service compositions and business process models. Nevertheless, real world process specifications cannot be grasped quickly by software engineers due to their size and sophisticated structures making a demand for techniques to deal with the complexity. The research topic of process abstraction emerged from a joint research project with a health insurance company. Operational processes of the company are captured in about 4 000 EPCs. The company faced the problem of information overload in the process specifications when employing the models in use cases other than process execution, e.g., process analysis by management. To reduce the modeling effort, the company requested to develop automated mechanisms to derive abstract, i.e., simplified, process specifications from the existing ones. The research results derived during the project are summarized in [1].

Abstraction is the result of the generalization or elimination of properties in an entity or a phenomenon in order to reduce it to a set of essential characteristics.
Information loss is the fundamental property of abstraction and is its intended outcome. When working with process specifications, engineers operate with abstractions of real world concepts. In our research, we develop mechanisms to perform abstractions of formal process specifications. The challenge lies in identifying what the units of process logic suitable for abstraction are and then afterwards performing the abstraction. Once abstraction artifacts are identified, they can be eliminated or replaced by concepts of higher abstraction levels which conceal, but also represent, abstracted detailed process behavior. Finally, individual abstractions must be controlled in order to achieve an abstraction goal—a process specification that suits the needs of a use case.

Fig. 1 shows an example of two process specifications (given as BPMN process models) which are in the abstraction relation. The model at the top of the figure is the abstract version of the model at the bottom. Abstract tasks are highlighted with a grey background; the corresponding concealed fragments are enclosed within the regions with a dashed borderline. The fragments have structures that result in an abstract process which captures the core process behavior of the detailed one. The abstract process has dedicated routing and work activity modeling constructs and conceals detailed behavior descriptions, i.e., each abstracted fragment is composed of several work activities. The research challenge lies in proposing mechanisms which allow examining every process fragment prior to performing abstraction and suggesting mechanisms which coordinate individual abstractions, i.e., assign higher priority to abstracting certain fragments rather than the others.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: The next section presents the connectivity-based framework designed to approach the discovery of process fragments suitable for abstraction. Sect. 3 discusses issues relevant to the control of process abstraction. The paper closes with conclusions which summarize our findings and ideas on next research steps.

2 Discovery of Process Fragments

A necessary part of a solution for process abstraction are the mechanisms for the discovery of process fragments, i.e., parts of process logic suitable for abstraction. The chances of making a correct decision on which part of a process specification to abstract from can only be maximized if all the potential candidates for conducting an abstraction are considered. To achieve this completeness, we
employ the connectivity property of process graphs—directed graphs used to capture process specifications.

Connectivity is a property of a graph. A graph is $k$-connected if there exists no set of $k-1$ elements, each a vertex or an edge, whose removal makes the graph disconnected, i.e., there is no path between some pair of elements in a graph. Such a set is called a separating $(k-1)$-set. 1-, 2-, and 3-connected graphs are referred to as connected, biconnected, and triconnected, respectively. Each separating set of a process graph can be addressed as a set of boundary elements of a process fragment, where a boundary element is incident with elements inside and outside the fragment and connects the fragment to the main flow of the process. Let $m$ be a parameter, the discovery of all separating $m$-sets (graph decomposition) of the process graph leads to the discovery of all process fragments with $m$ boundary elements—potential abstraction candidates.

In general, one can speak about $(n, e)$-connectivity of process graphs. A graph is $(n, e)$-connected if there exists no set of $n$ nodes and there exists no set of $e$ edges, whose removal makes the graph disconnected. Observe, an $(n, e)$-connected graph is $(n + e + 1)$-connected. A lot of research was carried out by the compiler theory community to gain value from the triconnected decomposition of process specifications, i.e., the discovery of triconnected fragments in process graphs. The decompositions which proved useful were $(2, 0)$-decomposition, or the tree of the triconnected components, cf., [2], and $(0, 2)$-decomposition, cf., [3]. Triconnected process graph fragments form hierarchies of single-entry-single-exit (SESE) fragments and are used for process analysis, process comparison, process comprehension, etc. For these decompositions, linear-time complexity algorithms exist [4,5]. Recently, these techniques were introduced to the business process management community [6,7]. We employed triconnected process graph fragments to propose mechanisms of process abstraction [8,9]; we discover and generalize the triconnected process fragments to tasks of a higher abstraction level.

Fig. 2 shows an example of a process graph. Routing decisions and synchronization points can be distinguished by the degree of the corresponding vertex, i.e., the number of incident edges, and orientation of the incident edges, i.e., incoming or outgoing. Process starts (ends) have no incoming (outgoing) edges. Moreover, Fig. 2 visualizes the triconnected fragments of the graph (SESE fragments). Each triconnected fragment is enclosed in the region and is formed by edges inside or intersecting the region. Fragments enclosed by regions with dotted borderlines can be discovered after performing $(0, 2)$-decomposition of the process graph, whereas regions with dashed borderlines define fragments additionally discovered by $(2, 0)$-decomposition. Observe that trivial fragments composed of a single vertex of the process graph are not visualized.
The abstract process graph, obtained from the graph shown in Fig. 2, is given in Fig. 3(a). The abstraction is performed following the principles from [9], i.e., by aggregating the triconnected fragments into concepts of a higher abstraction level. The graph from Fig. 3(a) has a single separating pair $B, S$. Next abstractions of the triconnected fragments of the graph will result in aggregation of either the unstructured fragment composed of nodes $\{C, ..., R\} \setminus \{E, L, P\}$, or the fragment with entry node $B$ and exit node $S$.

In order to increase the granularity of process fragments that are used to perform abstractions in [6,7], one can start looking for multiple-entries-multiple-exits (MEME) fragments within the triconnected fragments. Fig. 4 visualizes a connectivity-based process graph decomposition framework, i.e., the scheme for process fragment discovery. In the figure, each dot represents a connectivity property of the process graph (process fragment) subject to decomposition, e.g., $(0,0)$ means that the graph is connected if no nodes and no edges are removed. Edges in the figure hint at which decomposition can be performed for a graph with a certain connectivity level. For instance, one can decompose a $(0,0)$-connected graph by looking for single nodes or edges which make it disconnected. Similarly, the triconnected graphs can be decomposed into $(3,0)$-, $(2,1)$-, $(1,2)$-, or $(0,3)$-connected fragments. In general, an $(n,e)$-connected process graph (process fragment) can be $(n+1,e)$- or $(n,e+1)$-decomposed. Observe that in this way highly connected process fragments get gradually decomposed.

An $(n,e)$-decomposition $(n+e > 3)$ allows to decompose unstructured process graphs into MEME fragments with $n+e$ entries and exits. A process graph in Fig. 3(b) is obtained by abstracting a $(3,0)$-connected fragment defined by a separating set $\{F, G, S\}$ ($F$ and $G$ are the entries and $S$ the exit of the fragment, highlighted with grey background). For reasonable $n+e$ combinations, it is possible to perform decomposition in low polynomial-time complexity. For example, the $(3,0)$-decomposition of a $(2,0)$-connected graph can be accomplished by removing a vertex from the graph and then running the triconnected decomposition [5]. Each discovered separation pair together with the removed vertex form a
separating triple of a 4-connected fragment. The procedure should be repeated for
each vertex of the original graph. Hence, a square-time complexity decomposition
procedure is obtained. Following the described rationale, one can accomplish
\((k,0)\)-decomposition in \(O(n^{k-1})\) time.

By following the principles of the connectivity-based decomposition framework,
we not only discover process fragments used to perform process abstraction, but
also learn their structural characteristics. Structural information is useful at other
stages of abstraction, e.g., when introducing control over abstraction. Initial work
on classifying and checking the correctness of process specifications based on
discovered process fragments was accomplished in [10].

3 Abstraction Control

The task of adjusting the abstraction level of process specifications requires
intensive intellectual work and in most cases can only be accomplished by process
analysts manually. However, for certain use cases it is possible to derive automated
or to support semi-automated abstraction control mechanisms. The task of
abstraction control lies in telling significant process elements from insignificant
ones and to abstract the latter. In [1], work activities are classified as insignificant
if they are rarely observed during process execution. We were able to establish
the abstraction control as investigated processes were annotated with information
on the average time required to execute work activities. Process fragments which
contain insignificant work activities get abstracted. Hence, we actually deal with
the significance of fragments which represent detailed work specifications.

Significant and insignificant process fragments can be distinguished once a
technique for fragment comparison is in place, i.e., a partial order relation is
defined for the process fragments. The average time required to execute work
activities in the process provides an opportunity to derive a partial order relation,
i.e., fragments which require less time are considered insignificant. Other examples
of criteria and the corresponding abstraction use cases are discussed in [11].

Once an abstraction criterion, e.g., the average execution time of work activi-
ties, is accepted for abstraction, one can identify a minimal and a maximal value
of the criterion for a given process specification. In our example, the minimal
value corresponds to the most rarely observed work activity of the process and the
maximal value corresponds to the average execution time of the whole process. By
specifying a criterion value from the interval, one identifies insignificant process
fragments—those that contain work activities for which the criterion value is
lower than the specified value. Afterwards, insignificant fragments get abstracted.
In [11], we proposed an abstraction slider as a mechanism to control abstraction.
An abstraction slider is an object that can be described by a slider interval
defined by a minimal and a maximal allowed value for an abstraction criterion
value, has a state—a value which defines the desired abstraction level of a process
specification, and exposes behavior—an operation which changes its state.
4 Conclusions

In our research we develop methods which allow the derivation of high abstraction level process specifications from detailed ones. In order to discover fragments suitable for abstraction, we employ structure of process specifications, which are usually formalized as directed graphs. As an outcome, developed techniques can be generalized to any process modeling notation which uses directed graphs as the underlying formalism.

It is a highly intellectual task to bring a process specification to a level of abstraction that fulfills emergent engineering needs without a single perfect solution. By employing the technique for the discovery of abstraction fragments, one can approach the problem as a manual engineering effort. Besides, when it is sufficient to fulfill certain use case, cf., [1], one can define the principles for the semi-automated or fully automated composition of individual abstractions.

As future steps aimed at strengthening the achieved results, we plan to validate the applicability of the connectivity-based process graph decomposition framework for the purpose of process abstraction with industry partners and to look for process abstraction use cases for which automated control mechanisms can be proposed. Finally, studies regarding the methodology of abstractions need to complement technical results.

References